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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to determine the effectiveness of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills in kindergarten. This study aimed to determine whether or not play-based learning materials such as letter tiles, cards, timers, and literacy-based games were an effective way for kindergarten students to acquire skills such as segmenting, letter sounds, and sight word recognition. A pre-assessment was given using FastBridge to determine which skills the students were working towards mastering. Play-based learning materials were then offered to small groups of students during our regular literacy time, and data was collected using FastBridge after two weeks of implementation. After analyzing the data, it was determined that play-based learning was in fact an effective way for the kindergarten students to acquire phonemic awareness and phonics skills.
DEDICATION

It is with a grateful heart that I dedicate this action research study to my husband Mike, our two boys, Mason and Sam, and my family and friends. Your support, patience, and encouragement went above and beyond anything I could have ever imagined. You all rock, I love you.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Introduction

In kindergarten classrooms today, as rigorous standards are pushed-down, teachers are expected to foster child-development, ensure standards are met, all while trying to keep activities developmentally appropriate. One could go as far to say that kindergarten has become what used to be 1st grade. The focus has shifted from child-centered to academic-centered, and so has pedagogy. The lens continues to zoom in on standards, assessments, and boxed curriculum, while child development and developmentally appropriate practices have been shifting out of focus in kindergarten. There can and should be a balance between academics and play, and also a way to intertwine the two.

The kindergarten classroom that most adults remember from their childhood, with plenty of time for unstructured play, art and music, practicing social skills, discovery, and learning to enjoy learning—has largely disappeared (Almon & Miller 2009). With increasing accountability in kindergarten, teachers need to ensure that students reach certain literacy milestones before proceeding to the subsequent grade. One result of this shift is a tension between an emphasis on academic learning, and the use of developmentally appropriate practices, such as play (Pyle et al., 2018). Child development has not changed, and yet, more and more academic pressure is being placed on our youngest learners. The demands that students will face in the years to come in school are great, therefore students need to build a solid foundation of early literacy skills. As an early childhood educator, it is crucial to implement developmentally appropriate and engaging
activities for students. This has led me to the question the effects of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills.

The research written about play-based learning suggests that there are benefits in using this approach when teaching literacy skills. According to a research study on the play-literacy interface in kindergarten, “while play has been shown to benefit children’s development and learning, different play contexts, such as free play and guided play, have been found to better support children’s development and their academic learning” (Pyle et al., 2018, p.118). Although it is not an easy task to incorporate play-based learning, it is developmentally appropriate, more engaging, and children deserve it.

In a study conducted by Moore (2020) it was found that play-based learning was an effective strategy in teaching phonemic awareness and phonics when taught with an adult facilitator. When students were part of creating the rules for a game or activity, they were more engaged and participated more. It was found that students also learn cooperating, problem-solving, and early literacy skills through guided play. With differing academic levels, students were able to both teach and learn from each other while playing, and play-based learning can be used along with current classroom routines and curriculum (Moore, 2020).

**Statement of the Problem**

The research problem is to measure whether or not play-based centers are an effective way for children to learn phonemic awareness and phonics skills. The reading curriculum that the district has adopted is not developmentally appropriate, or engaging for the kindergarten students. Much of the curriculum involves skill and drill worksheets, and letter of the week activities, both practices that I found in my experience teaching kindergarten to be ineffective. It is also missing the phonemic awareness and phonics instruction piece. It is important for
kindergarten students to develop a strong foundation of literacy skills in order to become successful readers. Of equal importance is ensuring that the students are engaging in developmentally appropriate activities while building these skills.

**Purpose of the Study**

Prior to the implementation of play-based literacy centers, a mix of a boxed curriculum and the Daily 5 approach, a literacy framework based on 5 areas of literacy including word work, listen to reading, work on writing, read to self, and reading to someone, were used. These approaches were unsuccessful in keeping the students engaged. The play-based center approach that has been slowly implemented over the course of the last year focuses on the phonemic awareness and phonics skills that are taught to the students during whole group and mini lessons. These skills include segmenting and blending words, letter sounds, word family recognition, CVC words, and sight word recognition. Now that the materials and routines have been established, it is important to know if this play-based strategy is an effective way for students to acquire phonemic awareness and phonics skills.

After conducting the fall benchmarking assessments using FastBridge, an online assessment system tool used for benchmarking and progress monitoring students, it was found that 40% of the kindergarten students were not meeting proficiency in early literacy skills. The district goal is for 80% of students to be meeting or exceeding by spring. These scores prompted a deeper look into the effectiveness of the strategies and pedagogy being implemented in the kindergarten classroom. The goal is to increase the student’s phonemic awareness and phonics skills by using developmentally appropriate, engaging, play-based activities.

**Research Question(s)**

What are the effects of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills?
Definition of Variables.

The following are the variables of study:

**Variable A:** Early literacy skills, specifically phonemic awareness and phonics skill acquisition will be the dependent variable in the action research study. Early literacy skills are defined as the skill set that students need in order to transition from learning to read, to reading to learn. The skills include vocabulary, phonics, language, and numeracy, and give students the foundation they need in order to learn and grow (Renaissance Learning, Inc., 2020). The early literacy skills that will be focused on in this study are word segmenting and blending, letter sounds, CVC words, and sight word recognition.

**Variable B:** Teacher guided, play-based learning games in the areas of phonemic awareness and phonics will be the independent variable. The term “teacher guided play” refers to play activities that involve some level of adult involvement to embed or extend additional learning opportunities within play (Pyle & Danniels, 2018). A range of terminology has been used when referring to guided play, for example, center-based learning, or purposefully framed play (Pyle & Danniels, 2018). Phonemic awareness and phonics play-based centers will be used that are specific to the skill that each child is working toward mastering. For example, if the student is not yet able to segment sounds in a word, they were given a play-based literacy center that is specifically working on that skill. Letter tiles, play dough, picture cards, and board games are some examples of the play-based materials the students will use.

**Significance of the Study**

Early childhood educators today face the challenge of trying to integrate mandated academic standards, while keeping activities developmentally appropriate. Studies have shown that guided play is indeed effective at allowing children to learn. “Specifically, research has
found that children who engaged in guided play activities were more likely to learn a target piece of information than children who engaged in free play---and in some cases, more than children who were directly instructed” (Weisberg & Zosh, 2018, p. 27). As more focus is placed on academics in the early childhood years, children are being robbed of play to ensure academic benchmarks are met. A strong foundation of early literacy skills is vital, however, children need to be given the opportunity to acquire these skills using a play-based approach. This has been an internal struggle over the last few years, and it is why it was chosen to as the topic of research.

Research Ethics

Permission and IRB Approval. In order to conduct this study, I received approval from MSUM’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) to ensure the ethical conduct of research involving human subjects (Mills & Gay, 2019). Likewise, authorization to conduct this study was sought from the school district where the research project took place, at a small rural school in West Central Minnesota.

Informed Consent. Protection of human subjects participating in research was assured. Participant minors were informed of the purpose of the study via the Informed Consent Letter. I also read the method of assent to the participants before beginning the study. Participants were made aware that this study was conducted as part of the researcher’s Master Degree Program and that it was intended to benefit the researchers teaching practice. Informed consent means that the parents of participants were fully informed of the purpose and procedures of the study for which consent was sought, and the parents understood and agreed, in writing, to their child participating in the study (Rothstein & Johnson, 2014). Confidentiality was protected through the use of pseudonyms (e.g., Student 1) without the utilization of any identifying information. The choice to participate or withdraw at any time was outlined both verbally and in writing.
Limitations.

There were two major limitations in this study, one of them being the group sample size. The sample size is very small, which made it hard to generalize findings to a larger population. The second limitation was the diversity, or lack thereof, in this particular group of students. The group of students in the sample were all Caucasian, English speaking students, and only one of which was on an IEP for cognitive restrictions. This particular group of students was able to grasp new concepts more quickly overall than previous groups of students I have taught. The number of students receiving tier 2 instruction, or that needed to be re-taught concepts, is less than in previous years. This could lead to higher scores not necessarily related to the play-based strategy. My own personal bias towards the use of play-based learning could also be a limitation.
CHAPTER 2
LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Child development has not changed, and yet, we are asking more of our students and using programs and tools that are not appropriate for their age. Some would argue that the increased focus on direct instruction is developmentally inappropriate, because children are being expected to learn academic content that may be beyond their developmental level, in a manner that does not actively engage students (Pyle & Danniels, 2016). Early childhood teachers face the challenge of meeting standards and goals to prepare students, while delivering instruction in a way that is play-based so that it remains developmentally appropriate for students.

Having a solid foundation of early literacy skills is crucial for students to build upon, and it is possible to intertwine learning with play. Boxed curricula often rely heavily on skill and drill worksheets, and while some students are able to learn and grow, they do not meet the developmental needs of kindergarten children. The districts grade level goals are taken very seriously, however, play is also a priority in the kindergarten classroom. “We owe children the chance to be children, and we have to protect this time in their life because they cannot do it for themselves” (Barsness, 2017, p.5). I am focusing on finding a way to get my students to achieve our district goals in reading, while using a play-based, teacher guided play approach.

Play-Based Learning

Kindergarten was originally designed as a child-centered program, with playful context for children to grow and develop (Froebel 1967). In 1837 when Friedrich Froebel founded kindergarten, or what he referred to as “the children’s garden,” he introduced the idea of
kindergarten as a place where children’s natural inclination of play could be nurtured (Pyle et al., 2017). However,

Since the enactment of No Child Left Behind legislation, the early childhood classroom has changed dramatically. The kindergarten classroom that was once filled with free play, exploration, and imagination at the forefront of learning has now dissipated into a classroom virtually devoid of play (Miller and Almon, 2009 as cited in Cavanaugh et al., 2016, p.832).

Play has long been a topic of research and study in the field of early childhood education. Piaget and Vygotsky both offered strong research in the area of play, including the effects of play on language and early literacy skills (Christie & Roskos, 2011). Vygotsky emphasized the social interactions between individuals as the sources for building literacy knowledge (Tsao, 2008). Piaget viewed play as integral to the development of intelligence in children. His theory of play argues that as the child matures, their environment and play should encourage further cognitive and language development (Hamid, 2018).

Play-based learning is, essentially, to learn while at play (Danniels & Pyle, 2018). While play has been shown to benefit children’s development and learning, different types of play better support different types of learning and development (Pyle et al., 2017). Studies that have been conducted on the benefits of play-based learning have typically focused on two types of play, free play and guided play (Danniels & Pyle, 2018).

Free play, which is directed by the children themselves, typically involves imaginative play through role-playing, creating, and following social rules such as pretending to be different family members (Danniels & Pyle, 2018). Children’s language, cognitive, social, and emotional development are typically nurtured during free play (Pyle et al., 2017). Guided play, however,
has some level of adult involvement to extend additional learning within the play itself (Danniels & Pyle, 2018). In research done by both Fisher et al. (2013) and Weisberg et al. (2013) it was found that guided play has been found to better support children’s academic learning (Pyle et al., 2017). There are two types of play within guided play, teacher directed and mutually directed, and the distinction between the two comes down to who has control over the play. Teacher directed typically involves intentionally planned games, where mutually directed allows both students and teachers to exercise some control over the play that is taking place (Danniels & Pyle, 2018). According to Weisberg and Zosh (2018) guided play should be scaffolded by an adult, while allowing the child’s actions to lead them to the learning goal, and children should maintain the locus of control.

Children are innately drawn to play. “Children in play-based kindergartens have a double advantage over those who are denied play: they end up equally good or better at reading and other intellectual skills, and they are more likely to become well-adjusted healthy people” (Miller & Almon, 2009, p.8). It was also found that guided play-based opportunities serve as an effective way for children to be engaged in the learning process (Weisberg, et al. 2013, as cited by Cavanaugh et al., 2016). This action research focused on using guided play to acquire and enhance phonemic awareness and phonics skills.

**Early Literacy**

The years from birth to five are the most important years for emergent literacy development, although children do not usually learn to read until the ages of five or six (Elliot & Olliff, 2008). Research has shown that the learning that occurs during kindergarten regarding early literacy skills has a significant impact on later academic achievement (McCelland et al., 2006). As cited by Campbell and Cook, (2008), evidence from the National Reading Panel
(NRP, 2000) along with work done by the National Research Council committee on *Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children* (Snow et al., 1998) found that explicit systematic phonics instruction enhances a student’s ability to learn to read, with the strongest effects of this being in kindergarten and first grade.

According to the National Research Panel (2002) some literacy skills are more important than others when it comes to predicting later literacy development. Alphabetic knowledge, phonological awareness, phonological memory, rapid automatic naming, and letter writing are listed to have the most impact on children’s reading ability (Gozali-Lee & Mueller, 2013). In similar findings, according to research done by Elliot and Olliff (2008), in order to be successful in learning to read, prereaders should have knowledge of the alphabet, phonological awareness, letter-sound correspondences, awareness of print concepts, and some experience using writing as a form of communication.

The correlation between children’s literacy development and play has much been studied, and as a result, has prompted more research to be done over the last few decades (Tsao, 2008). “By engaging in joyful play activities, children also build meaning or understanding, and develop skills closely associated with reading and writing competence” (Tsao, 2008, p.515-16). In my experiences, children are far more engaged in play-based activities in the classroom versus skill and drill seatwork.

**Theoretical Framework**

Constructivists believe that individuals learn best when they are actively constructing new meaning of new concepts. This learner-centered approach requires the learner to take responsibility in the learning process, while the teacher or instructor plans and designs activities that encourage active engagement (Clark, 2018). The constructivism theory is also based on the
idea that learners process or construct new information by relating it to existing information, or schema (Clark, 2018).

Jean Piaget, well known for his theory of cognitive development, explained how a child constructed a mental model of the world. He disagreed with the idea that intelligence was a fixed trait and regarded cognitive development as a process which occurs due to biological maturation and interaction with the environment (McLeod, 2018). He was the first psychologist to make a systematic study of cognitive development (McLeod, 2018). Piaget believed that children take an active role in the learning process. They often naturally act much like little scientists as they perform experiments, make observations, and learn about the world. As children interact with the world around them, they add new knowledge, build upon existing knowledge, and adapt previously held ideas to accommodate new information (Cherry, 2019).

Another well-known researcher, Lev Vygotsky, whose work has become the foundation of much research and theory in cognitive development over the past several decades, believed in the sociocultural theory (McLeod, 2018). Vygotsky believed that social interaction helped the learner create deeper meaning of content, and that learning occurs best when the learner is able to be in the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) with support from others more knowledgeable (Clark, 2018).

Constructivists also believe that the learner must take responsibility in the learning process, rather than playing a passive role and expecting knowledge to sink in (Clark, 2018). Rather than the teacher telling the child what it is they need to know, the teacher plays the role of the facilitator, creating activities appropriate for the child’s specific needs to help build on the skills they already have, and being there to guide the child along the way.
Conclusions

Children learn best while at play. While there are benefits to all different forms of play, guided play has been found to be an effective approach when working with children on mastering targeted academic skills. Over the years, standards and academic curriculums have been pushed down into kindergarten. While it is important to help students learn the early literacy skills that are embedded in the academic standards, it should be done so in a developmentally appropriate way, such as play-based learning. Using the information from the literature, along with the action research, will help determine what the effects play-based learning has on those essential skills in kindergarten.

The next chapter will look at the research design, participants, data collection, analysis, alignment, and procedures of the action research study.
CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

Introduction

This study was looking at the effects of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills. In a time when there are more academic demands on children in kindergarten than ever before, combining learning with play is a logical solution to fit it all in. “An increasing societal focus on academic readiness (promulgated by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001) has led to a focus on structured activities that are designed to promote academic results as early as preschool, with a corresponding decrease in playful learning” (Yogman et al., 2018, p.2). Research has shown for years that play is beneficial for children. I questioned whether or not my students would acquire the phonemic awareness and phonics skills necessary to learn to read, through play-based learning.

Research Question(s)

What are the effects of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills in kindergarten?

Research Design

According to Fraenkel et al. (2015), the single subject research design best fit my action research study. This design is “most commonly used to study the changes in behavior an individual exhibits after exposure to an intervention or treatment of some sort” (Fraenkel et al., 2015, p. 302). Each student was given play-based materials centered on the phonemic awareness or phonics skill they were working towards mastering, and I utilized the A-B approach for data collection. A baseline assessment was given to each student which gave me the information I needed to assign the play-based center according to the student’s specific needs. A range of
skills from segmenting words, letter sounds, and sight word recognition were the skills my students were working towards mastering. Play-based literacy games (IV) were implemented for two weeks, and a post assessment was given to measure for growth of that specific skill. I utilized the graph data in FastBridge to calculate the changes from start to finish for each student in my action research study sample.

Setting

The setting of this study was a small, rural school in West Central Minnesota. It is an agricultural community with a population of around 500. School enrollment was 282 students in preschool-12th grade with all students in one building. Of the students enrolled, 95.4% were Caucasian, 3.2% Black or African American, 3.9% American Indian, 3.5 % Hispanic or Latino, 11.3% were in special education, and 36.1% received Free and Reduced Lunch.

Participants

The population in my kindergarten classroom for the 2020-21 school year was composed of 20 students ages five and six years old, with eleven girls (55%) and nine boys (45%). All 20 (100%) of the students were Caucasian, with one (5%) of the students on an IEP for cognitive restrictions. Of the 20 students 6 (30 %) qualified for Free and Reduced Lunch.

Sampling

The sample for this action research study was 15 kindergarten students in my classroom. The students were a purposive sample because they were the students assigned to my class roster. My research of the effectiveness of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills was directly related to and affected all of the students in my classroom.
**Instrumentation**

The instrument that I used for data collection was from FastBridge Learning (see appendix A). FastBridge is an online assessment tool that is used for benchmarking both math and reading in Fall, Winter, and Spring. FastBridge also offers progress monitoring tools, and for this action research the phonemic awareness and phonics progress monitoring tools were used (see Appendix A). The progress monitoring assessments are one-minute timed assessments that can be given to students weekly. The students were shown the paper copy, while the teacher was logged into FastBridge to access assessment directions, document any errors, and to stop and start the timer. Some of the skills for progress monitoring in kindergarten included word segmenting, letter sounds, and sight words. See appendix A for samples of the both student and administrator forms.

**Data Collection.**

To assess the effectiveness of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills, a baseline assessment was given using FastBridge. For the baseline assessment, students were assessed on their early literacy skills including word blending and segmenting, letter names, letter sounds, and sight word recognition. In order to accurately assign materials, data from the assessment (see appendix A) was used. After two weeks of implementation using play-based learning materials to build phonemic awareness and phonics skills, the students were given a progress monitoring assessment depending on the skill they were working towards mastering, i.e. word segmenting, letter sounds, or sight word recognition. I then used the data produced by FastBridge in the form of graphs to collect data on each student’s progress to be entered into an excel form.
Data Analysis.

Using an excel spreadsheet, each students’ baseline assessment score, along with their progress monitoring scores were entered, and the changes made from baseline to progress monitoring were calculated and recorded in excel as well. I then calculated the mean growth change in each table. I utilized all of this information to determine if the play-based learning materials were effective in phonemic awareness and phonics skill acquisition.

Procedures

The action research study took place during our regular literacy center time, between the times of 9:00-10:35 each morning. From the data collected on the baseline assessment, I gathered premade phonemic awareness and phonics play-based centers, letter tiles, timers, dice, and sight word cards that were specific to the skills that each student was working on. For example, the student working on segmenting sounds was offered a play-based literacy center with gumball machines and gumballs with pictures for sorting the number of sounds. He was also offered a magnetic wand, magnetic chips, and sound cards to play with. The students working on letter sounds were offered a CVC word building game, letter cards, and a timer and were given the choice of how to use the materials. The students working on sight words were offered a sight word game, sight word cards, and a timer. Each group was allowed to play, explore, and engage with the materials at their own pace and in their own way. As the students were engaging in their play-based literacy centers, I was observing, taking notes, and available to answer questions and aid in scaffolding my students learning. Each round of centers lasted for 15-20 minutes from set-up to clean-up. The students were assigned the play-based literacy center for one of their three rounds of centers for that day. I was able to implement, observe, and monitor progress for two weeks.
Ethical Considerations

An informed consent letter was sent home to the families of my students. Since my participants were only five and six years old, not only was it important for families to be aware of what was taking place in our classroom, I wanted to give them the opportunity to make their own decision on whether or not their child would participate. This study was not in violation of ethical practice, and I ensured confidentiality in my research data. I did not force any of my students to answer questions they were not able or capable of answering. Participation in this study presented no greater harm than that of a normal school day.
CHAPTER 4

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

What are the effects of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills?

The purpose of my research was to determine whether or not play-based learning is effective in acquiring phonemic awareness and phonics skills. After collecting baseline data from the winter benchmark in FAST Bridge Learning, play-based learning materials were gathered according to the phonemic awareness and phonics skills that each student needed improvement on. Letter cards, CVC letter tile word games, sight word cards, dice, timers, picture cards, magnetic wands, and magnetic chips were the materials gathered. Fifteen of my twenty students participated in the study, four students were receiving services from a Reading Corps tutor and/or our Title I program targeting the same skills, and one student was gone for one week on vacation during data collection. For fidelity purposes, those students were not included in the data. The play-based learning materials that were specific to each students’ needs were implemented during our classroom literacy center time with small groups of students with like needs. After two weeks, the progress monitoring tool from FastBridge Learning was used to check for growth in word segmenting, letter sounds, and sight word recognition.

During our classroom literacy center time, play-based learning had already been established, but not specifically to each student’s phonemic awareness or phonics skills needs. The play-based centers were targeting the skills being taught that week (i.e. word families, with games and materials based on that skill), and activities for building sight word acquisition. For this study, during literacy center time, I worked with small groups using the play-based materials targeting the specific skill that the students needed to improve on. During this time, I was able to meet with each student at least 3 times each week. One student was working on word
segmenting, eight students were working on letter sounds, and six students were working on sight word recognition. Each group was presented with a set of play-based materials. The student working on segmenting was offered picture cards, a magnetic wand, magnetic chips, and a picture sorting game. The students working on letter sounds were offered letter sound cards, a CVC word building game, and a sand timer. The sight word group was offered sight word cards, a timer, and a Roll-Say-Keep sight word game. Depending on the rotation of centers, I asked two-three students to my table to play. I would then lay the materials out on the table in front of them, and explain what the goal was in using them, and left it up to the students to decide how they wanted to use the materials. As the students worked together to figure out how they wanted to use the play-based materials for that day, I simply observed and answered questions they had, and was there to scaffold their learning. The most popular choice throughout the two-week intervention became using the sand timer and letter sound cards or sight word cards. The students chose to race to see who could get the most letter sounds, or the most sight words before the sand timer ran out. Two students asked me to record the number they got on their first turn so they could try for more on their next turn. Another popular choice was to race to beat the timer in building CVC words. One group often chose the same Roll-Say-Keep game, but would vary the rules from taking two cards per roll of the dice, to each person taking a card per roll. They were in charge of making the rules and utilizing the materials in a way they wanted and agreed upon. Some days took longer than others to come to an agreement on the activity choice and rules. However, watching the students discuss and negotiate was incredibly eye opening. They came up with ways to use the materials I had never thought about, for example, racing the timer to build CVC words. They were helpful to one another if they did not know a sound or a word, often another student in the group did know it, and would offer their help.
RESULTS

Play-based learning to acquire specific phonemic awareness and phonics skills took place over a two-week period of time. Each student engaged in play-based learning activities three times each week, for a total of 6 times. At the end of the two-week period, each student was re-assessed using the progress monitoring tool from FastBridge Learning. See Appendix A for the progress monitoring tools used. The tables below show the baseline score in each area, the score on the progress monitoring assessment, and the change that happened. In this study, each student’s score showed positive growth.

Table 1

Word Segmenting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Progress Monitoring</th>
<th>Progress Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Only one child was still working toward mastery segmenting words. This student’s baseline score of 0 was significantly lower than his peers.

Table 2

Letter Sounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Progress Monitoring</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>+18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>+29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>+21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>+15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>+17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>+29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>+24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Each child working on letter sounds made gains using play-based activities. The average change from the baseline assessment to the progress monitoring assessment date was an average of +22.
Table 3

**Sight Words**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Progress Monitoring</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Each child working on sight words made gains using play-based activities. The average change from the baseline assessment to the progress monitoring assessment date was an average of +7.

The findings were slightly unexpected, while I did expect growth to happen, most students’ growth was higher than I anticipated it would be. The data in each area of either phonemic awareness or phonics skills agrees with the research that I found in favor of using play-based learning. Guided play-based opportunities serve as an effective way for children to be engaged in the learning process (Weisberg, et al. 2013, as cited by Cavanaugh et al., 2016). The results of the study confirm this to be true for the students I was working with this year. The students were in control of their learning, making choices that were motivating for them to learn the targeted skills, and appeared to be enjoying their newfound games they created. Play-based learning had a positive impact on phonemic awareness and phonics skill acquisition for the students in my kindergarten class.

**Conclusion**

When I first started to entertain the idea of play-based learning, I knew it was the right choice developmentally for my students, but it was hard to give up that control in my classroom.
I was also unsure that my students would utilize the materials in a way that was conducive to learning the skills intended. After completing this study, it has become very clear that they are in fact capable of using play-based learning materials for building phonemic awareness and phonics skills. It was helpful for me to be there as a guide if needed, however, after the first couple of times using the materials, they grew comfortable and confident and quickly took the lead on their learning.
CHAPTER 5

ACTION PLAN AND PLAN FOR SHARING

Plan for Taking Action

After studying the effects of play-based learning on phonemic awareness and phonics skills, I plan to continue with this method of instruction as it has proven to be an effective way for my students to acquire these important early literacy skills. In order to continue with this, I will continue to look at the data and monitor progress so that I am able to provide my students with the materials that best suit the skills they are working towards mastering.

I plan to start the school year next year with this strategy during center time, and allow my students the freedom to make the rules and decisions with the materials to see where it leads them. I plan to look at the FAST Assessment data, just as I did for this study, to determine the play-based materials to offer my students. I found it to be beneficial that I am there to guide at first, to explain the goals in working with the play-based materials, and also to help build their confidence that their ideas are worth exploring.

Plan for Sharing

I plan on sharing the research, strategies I used, and the data from my action research study at our next PLC meeting, along with my district administration. My colleagues and I often discuss the strategies we are using, and question the effectiveness of what is we are doing. Both kindergarten and first grade has been implementing center style, play-based learning on some level for the last year. I am excited to share with them the research, and results from the strategies that my students created. Using this strategy means spending less time on the boxed curriculum that our district provides, but with the data that I have from this action research study, I am confident in showing administration that the strategies used are in fact effective in acquiring
the foundational literacy skills necessary for my students to succeed not only in kindergarten, but in the grades following.
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Appendix A

Word Segmenting Assessment Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Student Response</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. cot</td>
<td>/k/ /o/ /t/</td>
<td>/3 /3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. led</td>
<td>/l/ /e/ /d/</td>
<td>/3 /6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ran</td>
<td>/r/ /a/ /n/</td>
<td>/3 /9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. hut</td>
<td>/h/ /u/ /t/</td>
<td>/3 /12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. mom</td>
<td>/m/ /o/ /m/</td>
<td>/3 /15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. hen</td>
<td>/h/ /e/ /n/</td>
<td>/3 /18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. skip</td>
<td>/s/ /k/ /i/ /p/</td>
<td>/4 /22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. rust</td>
<td>/r/ /u/ /s/ /t/</td>
<td>/4 /26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. slap</td>
<td>/s/ /l/ /a/ /p/</td>
<td>/4 /30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. mint</td>
<td>/m/ /i/ /n/ /t/</td>
<td>/4 /34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Seconds: _____________
Total Sounds Correct: ________
Sounds Correct/minute: _____________
Letter Sounds Assessment Form

b  n  s  c  i  l  g  d  z

a  e  h  f  v  t  r  k  u  x

p  j  w  y  q  u  m  f  e  m  x

g  z  k  q  u  w  u  a  y  n  c

l  r  j  d  h  v  b  p  o  i

t  s  a  y  l  j  x  d  n  g

z  e  c  w  b  p  o  f  s  k

m  i  t  r  v  h  q  u  u  k  s

d  p  t  o  f  w  c  x  y  a

ev  g  u  l  m  r  n  z  i

e  a  c  i  g  u  o
Sight Words Assessment Form

- too
- make
- my
- one
- we
- to
- in
- so
- had
- but
- some
- two
- day
- on
- for
- all
- a
- can
- I
- have
- is
- if
- school
- what
- was
- she
- look
- at
- by
- will
- big
- be
- he
- just
- out
- like
- you
- little
- are
- your
- it
- not
- food
- him
- me
- and
- the
- or
- up
- did